ROCK COUNTY, WISCONSIN

Office of the Rock County Clerk 51 South Main Street Janesville, WI 53545





Office (608) 757-5660 Fax (608) 757-5662 <u>www.co.rock.wi.us</u> Lisa.Tollefson@co.rock.wi.us

January 11, 2022

Response and Determination:

To Formal Complaint to Remove Unqualified Nomination Signatures for Robert Potter, Candidate for County Supervisory District 17

Parties are allowed to file nomination paper challenges with the appropriate filing officer. For Rock County Board Supervisor contests, the filing officer is the Rock County Clerk, Lisa Tollefson. On January 7, 2022 the complaint, "Exhibit A", was delivered to the Rock County Clerk. The document was notarized, but the name the complainant is unreadable. Leaving the Rock County Clerk no way to directly respond to the complainant. The complaint was delivered by email to the candidate challenged the same day as received, meeting the requirement for the filing officer to deliver the complaint within 24 hours of receipt. The candidate challenged delivered his response "Exhibit B" within the three calendar days of the challenge being filed.

As filing officer, I then examined any evidence offered by the parties when reviewing the complaint challenging the sufficiently of the nomination papers.

The burden is on the challenger to establish, by clear and convincing evidence, any insufficiency of the nomination papers and if the challenger does so, the burden then shifts to the challenged candidate to establish that the nomination papers or any challenged signatures are sufficient. Wis. Admn. Code EL §§ 2.07(2) and (3).

Below is the filing officer's determination of each item in the complaint.

Complaint Item 1.

- 1. Per Wisconsin State Statute 8.40(2): "The circulator shall indicate the date that he or she makes the certification next to his or her signature." On pages 1, 3, and 4 the certification date of the circulator is not legible and/or it appears to be altered. Therefore, all signatures on pages 1, 3, and 4 must be deemed not valid and removed from the candidate's total. Falsifying the certification is punishable under s. 12.13 (3) (a).
- Page 1 Disqualify all 10 Signatures
- Page 3 Disqualify all 8 Signatures
- Page 4 Disqualify all 9 Signatures

<u>Determination of Item 1</u>: When Robert Potter was about to submit his nomination papers to me, Rock County Clerk Lisa Tollefson, I noticed that the certification dates on his papers corresponded with the dates on line 1 on the each page. Without pointing out this error. I asked Robert Potter if he personally watched each person sign his papers. He

stated "Yes". Then I pointed out that the certification date should be the same date as or a date later than the last person signing to signify that you had personally watched each person sign the papers. Robert thought that he needed to date the certification the day he started getting signatures. Robert corrected the dates. Robert Potter was not falsifying the certification, he was correcting it. No signatures will be disqualified per Item 1.

Complaint Item 2.

2. Page 4, line 9 & 10 does not have the box for city or town checked. These 2 signatures should be disqualified.

<u>Determination of Item 2</u>: Using guidance from the Wisconsin Elections Commission document "Nomination Paper Challenges - January 2018" Page 7.

"The Commission and its staff have advised candidates and challengers that a signatory's failure to check the correct box to indicate "Town, Village or City" is not a basis for disqualifying a signature unless a challenger can show that the given address is outside the subject jurisdiction or district. For instance, the challenger needs to show that a given address has to be in the Village of X, not in the Town of X and, therefore is outside District Y. The signatory's error or omission in checking a box on a form is not sufficient evidence for a challenge."

It was determined by the Rock County Clerk's staff prior to approving the signatures on lines 9 & 10 that the addresses are in District 17. No signatures will be disqualified per Item 2.

Complaint Item 3.

3. Page 2, lines 1-5 and Page 3, lines 1-7 are not complete addresses as apartment numbers are not listed and signatures should be removed. Wisconsin State Statute 8.40(1) states that "Each signer of such a petition shall affix his or her signature to the petition, accompanied by his or her municipality of residence for voting purposes, the street and number, if any, on which the signer resides, and the date of signing." The apartment number is part of the street number on an address and because it is missing, it should be disqualified. This results in 12 signatures to be disqualified.

<u>Determination of Item 3</u>: Using guidance from the Wisconsin Elections Commission document "Nomination Paper Challenges - January 2018" Page 7.

"Staff has recommended that signatures be found in substantial compliance where the insufficiency is a missing apartment number. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases."

No signatures will be disqualified per Item 3, since the addresses are substantially in compliance.

Complaint Item 4.

- 4. According to an email (attached) from Paige Jiminez, the Property Manager at Hawk's Ridge Apartments, the names of 3 signers have never lived at that address and therefore all 3 signatures should be removed and disqualified from the candidate's total:
- Josh Fillmore page 2 line 7
- Sara Munz page 3 line 6
- Betty Hawk page 3 line 7

<u>Determination of Item 4</u>: The only evidence supplied by the challenger is an email that has been altered to not show the recipient. There is no affidavit or notarized document to show additional authenticity. In many instances, not all occupants of an apartment are on the lease. The challenged candidate states in his response that he asked each individual if they lived at the residence. "Everyone who signed told me they lived in the apartment." I do not believe the challenger has meet the burden to establish insufficiency in Item 4. No signatures will be disqualified.

Complaint Item 5.

5. Page 3, line 5, 6, and 7 all have illegible/altered dates. All 3 signatures should be removed, as per state statute 8.40(1) "The date of signing is required" and "No signature is valid under this subsection unless the signer satisfies the requirements under this subsection."

<u>Determination of Item 5</u>: Using guidance from the Wisconsin Elections Commission document "Nomination Paper Challenges - January 2018" Page 9.

"Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(15)(a) allows for a signature to survive an incomplete date challenge if "the date can be determined by reference to the dates of other signatures on the paper." In the past, the Board policy has required that signatures on the first and last line of a nomination paper contain the complete date information, and not allowed missing date information on those lines to be determined by reference to the dates of other signatures on the page. However, in the context of a court case challenging the Board's application of Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(15)(a), the WI Department of Justice (DOJ) has advised that the Board's interpretation of that rule was too restrictive in that it required incomplete dates to be "bracketed" by complete dates. The DOJ recommended that the Board equally apply the principle of determining missing date information by reference to other information on the page, even if the incomplete date appeared on the first or last signature line. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases."

As often happens at the beginning of the January, individuals must accustom themselves to the change in the year when writing dates. Finding dates that have been written over are understandable. The dates in question are also brackets by correct dates above and below. No signatures will be disqualified.

Complaint Item 6.

6. Page 6, line 6 - There is no record of "Josh Warenn" at that address or anywhere in Rock County. This signature appears to be falsified.

<u>Determination of Item 6</u>: The challenger gives no evidence to support their claim. No signatures will be disqualified.

Complaint Item 7.

- 7. Based on the handwriting, it appears obvious that a single individual signed multiple times under different names. This is only permitted if the voter has a disability and there is no evidence of this. The following signatures should be disqualified based on handwriting analysis::
- Page 1 lines 3 and 4. The same individual signed both names on these lines...
- Page 6 line 5 and 6. The same individual signed both names on these lines. Furthermore, the handwriting on these lines matches the handwriting of Robert Potter who is both the candidate and the individual who signed to certify the signatures on this page. Falsifying the certification is punishable under s. 12.13 (3) (a). Whoever violates is guilty of a Class I felony. It is recommended these signatures are investigated by a handwriting expert and if it is determined that Robert Potter falsified these signatures and the certification of those signatures, he should be charged with a Class I felony and be ineligible to hold public office.

<u>Determination of Item 7</u>: The challenged candidate provided notarized documents from Tim Quin, Lori Quin and Jeffery Potter stating they signed Robert Potter's nomination papers. No signatures will be disqualified.

Complaint Item 8.

8. Page 1, line 5 - Jay Boschi does not reside at this address. It is the home of his parents John and Mary. He resides at 602 Stoecker Farm Ave, Mukwonago, WI 53149-8278. This signature should be disqualified.

<u>Determination of Item 8</u>: Using guidance from the Wisconsin Elections Commission document "Nomination Paper Challenges - January 2018" Page 7.

"A complaint challenging the eligibility of a signatory to a nomination paper based on the signer's non-residency must be accompanied by reference to MyVote Wisconsin or "Who is My Legislator?" web searches, by a map of the district demonstrating that the address is outside the district, or by a signed statement from the election official, (municipal clerk or deputy clerk), whose responsibility it is to determine the residency of electors of the district. Without such references, the complainant challenger's bare assertion of the signer's non-residency is not sufficient to sustain the challenger's burden of proof."

The challenger has not provided sufficient proof the individual does not live in the district. The signature will not be disqualified.

The challenger failed to provide sufficient evidence to disqualify any signatures. Robert Potter remains a candidate for Rock County Supervisory District 17.

Lisa Tollefson

Rock County Clerk

Exhibit A - Complaint to Remove Unqualified Nomination Signatures

Exhibit B - Challenged Candidate Response to Complaint

Exhibit C - Wisconsin Elections Commission - Nomination Paper Challenges (Jan 2018)

Exhibit D – Chapter EL 2 Election Related Petitions

Exhibit A

RECEIVED
JAN 0 7 2022

Consider this a formal request and complaint to investigate and remove unqualified nomination signatures for Robert Potter, Incumbent and Candidate for Rock County, WI Supervisor District 17. ROCK COUNTY WE ROCK COUNTY W

Unqualified signatures are referenced by line number and page number as indicated in the lower right hand corner of the submitted nomination pages.

Reasons for Signature Removal:

- 1. Per Wisconsin State Statute 8.40(2): "The circulator shall indicate the date that he or she makes the certification next to his or her signature." On pages 1, 3, and 4 the certification date of the circulator is not legible and/or it appears to be altered. Therefore, all signatures on pages 1, 3, and 4 must be deemed not valid and removed from the candidate's total. Falsifying the certification is punishable under s. 12.13 (3) (a).
- Page 1 Disqualify all 10 Signatures
- Page 3 Disqualify all 8 Signatures
- Page 4 Disqualify all 9 Signatures
- 2. Page 4, line 9 & 10 does not have the box for city or town checked. These 2 signatures should be disqualified.
- 3. Page 2, lines 1-5 and Page 3, lines 1-7 are not complete addresses as apartment numbers are not listed and signatures should be removed. Wisconsin State Statute 8.40(1) states that "Each signer of such a petition shall affix his or her signature to the petition, accompanied by his or her municipality of residence for voting purposes, the street and number, if any, on which the signer resides, and the date of signing." The apartment number is part of the street number on an address and because it is missing, it should be disqualified. This results in 12 signatures to be disqualified.
- 4. According to an email (attached) from Paige Jiminez, the Property Manager at Hawk's Ridge Apartments, the names of 3 signers have never lived at that address and therefore all 3 signatures should be removed and disqualified from the candidate's total:
- Josh Fillmore page 2 line 7
- Sara Munz page 3 line 6
- Betty Hawk page 3 line 7
- 5. Page 3, line 5, 6, and 7 all have illegible/altered dates. All 3 signatures should be removed, as per state statute 8.40(1) "The date of signing is required" and "No signature is valid under this subsection unless the signer satisfies the requirements under this subsection."
- 6. Page 6, line 6 There is no record of "Josh Warenn" at that address or anywhere in Rock County. This signature appears to be falsified.

- 7. Based on the handwriting, it appears obvious that a single individual signed multiple times under different names. This is only permitted if the voter has a disability and there is no evidence of this. The following signatures should be disqualified based on handwriting analysis::
- Page 1 lines 3 and 4. The same individual signed both names on these lines...
- Page 6 line 5 and 6. The same individual signed both names on these lines. Furthermore, the handwriting on these lines matches the handwriting of Robert Potter who is both the candidate and the individual who signed to certify the signatures on this page. Falsifying the certification is punishable under s. 12.13 (3) (a). Whoever violates is guilty of a Class I felony. It is recommended these signatures are investigated by a handwriting expert and if it is determined that Robert Potter falsified these signatures and the certification of those signatures, he should be charged with a Class I felony and be ineligible to hold public office.

| 216 | | 19 1 11 KING ALSAI | 150 K. (6)+ | 1,3190099 |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | 32 | 37 Kensington | Belot | 13/2022 |
| | DOSH WARENN | 1420 LATTHERS | Story Be Cost | 1/3/22 |
| , | | | Si Tomo Di Village Si City | |
| | | .,,, | Class Class Class | |
| <u>.</u> | | | Officer Divisige Day | |
| | | | Officers Owings Octor | |
| rther certify I am either a qualified elector of | Wisconsin or a 11 S ciriton and 18 continued | | tess - Include number, street, and municipality | |
| ch person signed the paper with full knowled; sifying this certification is punishable under W | te of its content on the date indicated concerts b | know that the signers are electors of the Jurisdic is or her name. I know their respective residence | es given. I intend to support this car | to represent. I know the indidate. I am aware the |
| (Date) 69 Rev. 2019-10 Wisconsin Elections Commission | n, P.O. Box 7984, Madison, WI 53707-7984 608-261-2 | (Signature of circulator) | Pag | e No. 🔏 |

8. Page 1, line 5 - Jay Boschi does not reside at this address. It is the home of his parents John and Mary. He resides at 602 Stoecker Farm Ave, Mukwonago, WI 53149-8278. This signature should be disqualified.

After removing the above complaints, candidate Robert Potter should be disqualified from his name appearing on the ballot for Rock County District Supervisor #17 as his total number of qualified signatures is below 50.

Sincerely,

Date: January 7, 2022

raylor Braun

State of Wisconsin

TAYLOR BRAUN

Notary Public

commission expires 10/12/2024

| | No | | | FOR NONPARTI | | ICE (| (0) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|
| nobler's pare (required), no title; may be used. Robert Potter | | | e, or rural route nui | es (required) No P.O. bus un neve; box number (il number ne Dr. Beloit V | U 9 | Hage Beloit | | | | |
| indichter mailling address, lectuding mon cipality for mailing nident all actions or writing use of polity) 518 Hawthorne Dr., Beloit | atial assues or enting occar/polity) 8. Hawthorne Dr., Beloit | | | State (required) Zip code Type of pacific all spring State (State Control of State Control | | | tequired) telephon case (required) to not use paintry date. Mc(to, rise) A 105 (2002) | | | |
| ock County Board of Supervisor | | | Branch, district or seet number (required diapplicab) Blatest 17 U Shar | | | resectioner and | Board | d of Supervisory District 1 | | |
| undersigned, request that the candidate, who turnly to vote for U him or U her for the of rof any other condidate for the same office a | t this election. | ACREMENT. | The Landau | -aut. G. Listinik (1) Will | of at the ele of the cand | ation described date named abo | above as a | candidate so that sol | ersycle have the | |
| he municipality used for mailing purpos | es, when different tha | n municip | pality of resid | ence, ls not sufficie | nt. The na | me of the mu | nicipality | of residence must | always be listed. | |
| Signatures of Electors | Printed Name | s of Electo | rs | Residential Address Street and Number or (Rura) address must als | Rural Route | | Municipal Check that of your mu purnoses. | Date of Signing Mo/Day/Year | | |
| And the | Robutto | Her | | 2518 Howthorne Dr | | | Drown Dyduge Burry | 30/oit | 1/1/22 | |
| ate Anderson | Kate An | dere | Bon_ | 3765ch | towad | NDc | BOWN K | gloit_ | 1/1/200 | |
| In the | Jem Guin Cori Quin JAY Bosch | | <u> </u> | 2262 Winchester | | exter. | District A | Beloit | 1/2/2022 | |
| you gu | | | , , | | | | Overage D | Belit | 1-2-2022 | |
| gay vour | | | 16 | 2296 WIN | WineHESter Di | | DYBINE C | Sele, t | 1-2-2027 | |
| Simoth, Cally | Mary 150 | Schi Wilad | <i>r</i> | | ustr- 1 | 20 | C village Control | seloit | 1-2.7020 | |
| 10/0/0000 | Liphette Collins | | | | utsworth Dr. | | Distance of Distan | belort | 1.2.32 | |
| FAW CO | Acon H. Wall | . <i>Coll</i> sh | -, 5 | 4719 E Nee | | | White 1 | Selāt _ | 1-2-22 | |
| anul 2 | Danielle F. | tihas | p . | 4719 E'Ne | | - Nº 1 | DOW / DYNami DYNami | wile Tudio | Morias | |
| Robert Poth | | *************************************** | ERTIFICATION | N DE CIRCIII ATOR | | a) thur | act, / | VITTE | 01104124 | |
| the next thy I am whiter a qualified elector of Wildled this nomination paper and personally of person signed the paper with full knowledge. Whigh his certification is punishable under Wis I—R—22 | Asconsin, or a U.S. citizen, btained each of the signer of its content on the date | age 18 or ures on thi | certify: (res | were a resident of the | stale, was | ld not be disqui | of fied from | er, street, and municipality, a voting under Wis. St the candidate recks to act to support this can | at §6.03, I personally | |
| (Date) 9 Rev. 2015-10 Wisconsin Elections Commission. | P.O. Box 7984, Madison, Will | 3707-7961 | 608-261-7073 | (Signature of circulator) web: elections wilgov | email: election | ns DWi.Foy | | Page | No. | |

| | No | MINAT | ION PAPER | FOR NONPARTIS | AN OF | FICE | | (10) | 1 | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|---|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| and date's name (required); no lides may be used | *************************************** | Cand Sage | e's onsider fire andre | ter, was number of rural roo | fruit or | | T Ca | neidate's municipals | ry for vole a may | and (required) |
| Topert Potter | | 2518 | Hawthorn | ۵ | Beloit | | | | | |
| nd date's mailing sockers, including mericipality for m like tilol acids on or uniting municipality) | aling purposes (required) wiferem th | tan | an State (required) 7 p crose Type of electic | | | | | Election date in | (name of monapolity) ation date (required) Do not and premary date | |
| 18 Hawthorne Dr, Beloit | | WI 53511 | | | spring special | | Mc/Ocra en | 04/05/2 | | |
| lock County Board of Supervisor | | | Branch Branch T Branch Bra | | | | net ar Which c | envidate serks offici | ser is office (regulfed) | |
| | | | | | | ck County | Board | of Super | rVisoRv1 | District 1 |
| e undersigned, request that the candidate ortunity to vote for U him or U her for the er of any other candidate for the same off | , whose name and residential ne office listed above. I am elli | address ar | re listed above, | pe placed on the ballot | | | | | | |
| r of any other candidate for the same off | ice at this election. | eterminate and a second | re in elle jurisan | don of themer in white | TITLE COL | moare named a | pové zeskz | office. I have n | obsequite | projnation |
| he municipality used for mailing pu | rposes, when different tha | m municip | pality of resid | | | | unicipalit | y of residence | must alway | s be listed. |
| Signatures of Electors | Printed Name | e of Electo | ors | Residential Address (Street and Number or R (Rural address must also | ural Route | | Check the | ality of Residen type and waterd unicipality for vot | be many D | ste of Signing In/Day/Year |
| T.Z | Uhr.s | Bour | ` | 1730 6 | atew | ay Blud | U tout U Village Santy | 0, 0, | | 2-2082 |
| | Janiny | Ties | mer | 1730 GA | 100u | z Blodi | LI TOVIE | eloit | L | -2-22 |
| # | Arylon . | HUZA | | 1730 GW | ewa | Blue | O Alveria | >bloit | | -2-22 |
| 11 CO - 2000 | . Mark E. | Smit | h | 1730 Gat | Chales | Blue | D yllige | Deloi | × 1 | 2.22 |
| MANIALL | MAHARU | \mathcal{W}_{+} | 21sin | 1730 GOHR | ill! | ublicot | U Yaun U Yaun U Yaun | Beloi | + 1 | 22 |
| aux place | Au Stin | Hern | w | 1730 Gate | ray By | WS At | J VARAN (| Beloit | 1 | 12 122 |
| ged Man | Joh Fillm. | ple | | 1980 Onta | my B | lud ky | Didings 1 | 3.1-,+ | | 1/2/22 |
| and the same | _ JOHA DOUM | <u></u> | | 1730600000 | Blu | 1.44.22 | O Wiles | 30/01+ | | 2/2022 |
| AND THE STATE OF T | Kyan (| Sul | 40 | 1730 Gale | wy | Blud Apt. | DE Alles | Belort | 1, | 12/2022 |
| golden Ju | holses hi | | aum | 1730 Golewa | y Dluck | 119 | Enles Sylles On | Belont | | 12/22 |
| obsit Portice | Jatori | С | ERTIFICATIONcertify: 1 res | OF CIRCULATOR | 518 | Hant | 1. 20 (24 | ber, street, and mis | | |
| ther restify I am either a qualified elector lated this nomination pager and persona person signed the pager with full knowle ying this certification is punishable under 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - | of Wisconsin, or a U.S. citizen ily obtained each of the signal size of its content on the date | | | were a resident of this | state, wa | ould not be disqu | ualitied fro | m voting under | Wis. 5641. 96 | |
| (Date) 3 Rev. 2019-10 Wisconsin Elections Commus | tien. P.O. Bex 7980, tantions wa | \$3797.70W | 1608.261.2220 | (Sign Hitte of circulator) | | | | | Page No | . 2 |

| card dare's name (sequired), no tilter may be used. Robert Potter | | 2518 | resident of eddre , or real route our Hawthorr | Beloit | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| and date's making addies, including mankigrans, for making purposes (required if different than is decilia address or come quantizath) 518 Hawthorne Dr. Beloit Was untitle frenched | | | State (required) | 53511 * | | tion (required) | Plactice date (required) (| Therefore primary date. | |
| Rock County Board of | # District | 17 | r (required if applicable) | Pock Cou | nt. Dan | ard of Supervisor | | | |
| ne undersigned, request that the candidate, o portunity to vote for CI him or CI her for the o per of any other candidate for the same office | | | | | t at the election des hithe candidate nam | ribed above | as a cuntildate so that vote | ers will have the. | |
| The municipality used for mailing purpo | ses, when different tha | n municip | ality of reside | ence, is not sufficien | it. The name of the | e municipa | ality of residence must a | busines & a Maria d | |
| Signatures of Electors | Printed Name | | 1 | Residential Address Street and Number or R (Kural address must also | No P.O. Box Addres | (Check | cipality of Residence the type and write the name r mentionality for voting | Date of Signing Mo/Day/Year | |
| Susan Wortson | Agen Vigitar | | | 1740 BA towny BLU | | | · 132/6/14 | 1/2/2 | |
| Jen Weiser | French | V. | | 1770 Besta | -y | D Tone D Step P SA | Belaut | 1/2/22 | |
| Ven Jowell | Ben Johnso | | on 1757 Sitem Blo | | J Driver | DEION | 1/2/22 | | |
| Chistina Macura = | | | ~ | 1752 Frakusie Blye | | Diens. | DC 1001 | 1/2000 | |
| Baran Nure | Contraction (Y | Bety Hair | | 1752 Fake | 52 fakulugenelisti 34 Godenny Blod | | Belott | 402/22 | |
| J. J | LKO A | | | 1734 Gode | | | Beloft | 01/62/22 | |
| April Danil | April Do | niels | 077 | 2015 Marony | Woods Rd | Drus Curto | Belose | 1-3-22 | |
| Lin Buyers | Julia Ba | 266 | | 2592 Has | Horne DE | U Town G Y Vape GANG | Beloit | 1-3-22 | |
| Kobert Potter | 1 | ********** | certify: Legisle | OF CIRCULATOR | Hawkon | ne D | <u> </u> | | |
| urther certify I am either a qualified elector of Culated this nomination paper and personally of the person signed the paper with full knowledge (of person signed the paper with full knowledge (of persons and persons are provided to the person of th | Wisconsin, or a U.S. citizen, obtained each of the signature of its content on the data of Stat. § 12.13(3)(a). | age 18 or oures on this indicated o | paper. I know poposite his or I | ery a resident of this that the signers are g ter carne. I know that | Late, would not be lectors of the jurisdi respective residen | ress - Include n disqualified f dion or distr es given. I i | ander, street, and municipality.) Form voting under Wis. Stati ict the caudidate seeks to re otend to support this candi | . 56.03. I personally epresent, know th date. Fam aware th | |
| Detei (Detei 169 Pav. 2019-10 Wisconsin Floritions Commission | . A.C. Box 7986, Medican W 5 | 3702-1934 | A 3-1 1000 I | (Signature of circulator) | | | Page | No. 3 | |

| | No. | IMINATI | ION PAPER | FOR NONPARTIS | SAN OFFICE | 10 | 11 | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Robert Potter | | | | es (required) No P.O. bes and resher; bus sounder (it come re- ne Dr, Beloit V | be of vertex | Considerty manus pality for <u>solary</u> purposes (required) Lifeson Select Desire Beloit | | |
| end that it making and each recording manufactury for making so destin section or working manufacturity) 516 Hawthorne Dr. Beloit its of office (required) | addess or vector municipality) lawthorne Dr. Beloit | | | MI 53511 Special Use Special | | | | numbroalis) 2 numbro primony date. 5/2022 |
| lock County Board of | ini Olstrica | 17 | es (required if application) | Rock C | ount Roa | n connected tree for the fire quired | 1 (1) | |
| e undersigned, request that the candidate, wo ortunity to vote for U him or U her for the o er of any other candidate for the same office. | at this election | | 100 | | of at the election th the candidate | described above named above sea | as a concluding so that water | t s will have the |
| he municipality used for mailing purpo | ses, when different the | n municip | pality of resid | lence, is not sufficien | nt. The name o | of the municipa | ity of residence must a | lways he listed |
| Signatures of Electors | Printed Name | | | Residential Address Street and Number or R (Bural address must also | (No P.O. Box Ad | dresses) Munic | ipality of Residence he type and write the name municipality for veting | Date of Signing Mo/Day/Year |
| gow Lle | Lori Hein Dollar Hein Mary Henry Grang Rumana | | | 1730 gaf | eway Apt | 17 Unionen Livitago | Belost | 1/2/22 |
| Hola Co Down | | | IN 1730GATEWA | | | #17 Drawn Divides | Beloit | 1/2/12 |
| HAMA SOLL | | | | 17406terny # 201 | | | Beloit | 1.2.28 |
| Many Stay | | | y 1730 Guteway #2005 | | | | Belot | 1-2-2026 |
| Grang Kerman | | | <u>. </u> | 2507 Harteam D | | | Baloit | 1-3-2022 |
| Lander toll | Cyndi tz | shl no | | 2507 Haw | thorne D | G Fown G satisfie G Sa | Belit | 1/3/22 |
| To week I august | Tay hickn | Mac | 1017 | 2515 Haw | thorne D | e gum | BELOIT | 1/3/22 |
| league Mysty | MOSERA | VAR. | POTT | 2515 HALL | THORNE | | BELOTT | 1-3-22 |
| late on | Ted Joe | nkser | Λ | 2524 Han | where Or. | Marie Company | Beloit | 1-3-62 |
| (bre Swarking | Clare Soen | Ken | | 2524 Hau | thornest | G Grave Grave Dray | Beloit | 1-3-24 |
| Rebert Pates | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | certify: I res | | 25/8- | tawM | Mber, street, and misermology) | _ |
| ther certify I am either a qualified elector of V lated this nomination paper and personally a person signed the paper with full knowledge Ving this certification is punishable under Wis | of its content on the date | age 18 or ures on this indicated o | older who, if I s paper, I know opposite his or | were a resident of this withat the signers are e her name. I know the | state, where no | ne madneraren a | on voting under Wis Stat. | 66.03. personally present I know tha date. I am aware tha |
| (Bose) 9] Hev. 2019-10 Wisconsin Electrons Commission. | D. D. 1604 Med. | CA. | | (Spotture) (include) | <u> </u> | 3. | Page I | No. 4 |

| Canif date's name (required); no titles may be used. | | Candidate's re | stident at addre | FOR NONPARTI | and the same | Candidate's munitipality for | Olina Eura reas transferadi | | | |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Robert Potter | | 2518 H | awthor | ne Dr. Beloit V | nute); end name of NI. 53511 | street or road | Beloit | | | |
| Candidate's milling actives i, Induding modelpality for making rasidestial actives or valing municipality) 2518 Hawthorne Dr., Befort | idealial acid esc or valing municipality) | | | Nate (required) 7(a code 1)(prof election Spring 1) Spring U spread U s | | | | | | |
| Rock County Board of | Supervisor | ■ District 1 | 7 | r (required if applicable) | Rock | County Br | oard of Supervis | on Dietrict 17 | | |
| the undersigned, request that the candidate, wh eportunity to vote for CI him or CI her for the of aper of any other candidate for the same office. | t this election. | | , the Janeson | CON D GENERAL PRINCE | ot at the election of the candida | n described above | ve as a candidate do that seeks office. I have not si | voters will have the gred the momination | | |
| The municipality used for mailing purpo | es, when different tha | n municipal | ity of resid | ence, is not sufficie | nt. The nam | of the munic | pality of residence mu | st always be listed. | | |
| Signatures of Electors | Printed Nami | | | Residential Address Street and Number or (Ruca address most als | (No P.O. Box / Rural Route | Iddresses) Michigano (1) | midpality of Residence or the type and write the ma our municipality for veting poses, | | | |
| Mayfldin | Max P | Oods | sch | 2531 Hawthorne Dr | | | Below | 01-03-22 | | |
| Michelle Bodson | Michelle | Dods | ian | 2531 Hawthorne Dr | | | 3 eloit | 1.3.22 | | |
| Marine Ke Hotmis | Marline t | tolme | mes 2537 Hawthorne Dr | | | Dr B | ber Kalait | 1-3-22 | | |
| Thomas Holmes | Thomas. | Holm | es | 2587 Hau | vitteorie | Dr. S | 20 mm | 1/3/22 | | |
| THE BOSS | NATE 15 | 0995 | | 2542 Hac | artlansh | Dr 3 | Brocar | 1/3/22 | | |
| Tool | Marrial | You | L | 25% black | wther me | | w ./ | 1-2-22 | | |
| Nooth | How T | | | 2530 How | wthor | να (2) η | - Baloit | 1-2-29 | | |
| Son Johnson | STEVEN JO | HINSON | | 2725 E. Co | DUNTY R | 25 0 | her de fr | 1-3-21 | | |
| o. flear Johnson | JEAN JO | hwson | <u></u> | ADEC | oceasy R | 25 ga | " Beluit | 1-3-22 | | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | DMI | ug. | 180 | | |
| Robert Poll | ٠ | | TIFICATION | N OF CIRCULATOR | 251 | & He | Threnon | | | |
| Where distribution with the second of the control o | Visconsin, or a U.S. citizen bitsined each of the signat of its content on the date | , age 18 or ok | der who, if I | were a resident of this | s state, would electors of the eir respective r | not be disqualifie | te number, street, and mustipe of from voting under Wis, strict the candidate seek I Intend to support this o | Stat. §6.03. I personally | | |
| (Gate) -169 Rev. 2019-10 Wiscansin Elections Commission | | 1-mila | 5-1 | (Six vature of circulator) | - | | Par | ge No. 5 | | |

| Dahart Datter | | Candidate Sizeet, fire | MINATION PAPER FOR NONPARTISAN OFFICE Condition's randonful audience Required in an In-) have addressere Sixted, first, or many forustic numbers for market and name of processor road Taken | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Robert Potter | The state of the s | 2518 | Hawthorn | ne Dr, Beloit V | | | U VIII | Re Reloit | de la fessione de la | | |
| andwate's railing addition, incouring municipality for missing visiblent all additions or victing municipality? 2518 Hawthorné Dr., Beloft | purposes (required if Jilfermit in | laji | State (required) | 53511 | *** | of election (requi spring special | | Electric special (Pegutred) pe | I municipality! No nor use primary date. 15/2022 | | |
| Rock County Board of Supervisor | | | 17 | r (required if applicable) | Rock (| School or district in | oard o | denimesofice (required) | ÜDiğirici 17 | | |
| the undersigned, request that the candidate, who promobily to vote for \square him or \square her for the of oper of any other candidata for the same office a | it this election. | | The state of the s | aren Si districe in thing | of at the electic th the candidate | described ab named above | ove as a c seeks of | andidate so that voter ice. There not signed | is will have the | | |
| The municipality used for mailing purpos | es, when different tha | n municip | ality of reside | ence, is not sufficie | nt. The name | of the munic | ipality o | fresidence must al | ways he listed | | |
| Signatures of Electors | Printed Name of Elector | | | Residential Address Street and Number or I (Rural address must als | (No P.O. Box A. Rural Route | ddresses) M | | | Date of Signing Mo/Day/Year | | |
| Homeron Head | | | ath | 2632 Sunshine Lans | | g | Beloit | | 1/3/202 | | |
| Patrice Shorth | tatrice S | hank | lin. | 2634 8 | nshine L | | other [2 | peloit | 1/3/2022 | | |
| Saturay Very | Patricine | DA | K | 600 | evert | dn & | interior B | elout | 1/3/2023 | | |
| Marin Johnsey | 11-6)- | | • | 2937 Ke | Mingto | 77 | Mar R | late | 1/3/2002 | | |
| Jeffery Perter | J 5: | | | 12937 KLMS' | agtan | | 3 | lalt | 1312005 | | |
| | 5054 W. | ACEN |) FJ | 2429 LA | THERS | 100 100 100 | Wite B | elolt | 1/3/22 | | |
| | | *************************************** | | | | | ulsge Ulsge Hy | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | D | tivge Ity | | | | |
|). | | | | | | O: | liege Hy | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ···· | | | | 0; 0; | Here | | | | |
| Robert Post. | | Ci | RTIFICATION | OF CIRCULATOR | 25/8 | Hau |)ole | ver / |)(| | |
| urther certify I am either a qualified elector of V culated this nomination paper and personally o ich person signed the paper with full knowledge bify ng this certification is punishable under Wis | of its content on the date | , age 18 or tures on thi | older who, if I s paper. I know opposite his or | were a resident of this vithat the signers are her name. I know the | s state, would n | ot be disqualif | ed from | street, and mercipality. Poting under Wis. Stat e candidate seeks to r to support this candi | . \$6.03. I personally epresent. I know th date. I am aware th | | |

From: Paige Jimenez <paige@teronomy.com>

Subject: RE: Residency Verification - Audit for District 17 in Rock County Wisconsin (Please verify 8

people)

Date: January 6, 2022 at 4:44:03 PM CST

To: '

Hi

Thank you for your email - Lam the Property Manager of Hawk's Ridge Apartments!

Below is the information you requested:

1730 Gateway Blvd - 5 Residents to Verify:

Chris Brown - 1730 Gateway Blvd. #009 Beloit, WI 53511 - yes, he is a resident at this address!

Tammy Ziemer - 1730 Gateway Blvd. #003 Beloit, VVI 53511 - yes, she is a resident at this address!

Brylen Peruza – Incorrect Name Spelling – Roylen Peraza is a resident at 1730 Gateway Blvd. #002 Beloit, VVI 53511

Josh Fillmore - Does not live here/Never has at this address!

Kelsey Kirschbaum – 1730 Gateway Blvd. #019 Beloit, WI 53511 – yes, she is a resident at this address!

1752 Gateway Blvd - 2 Residents to Verify:

Ben Johnson – 1752 Gateway Blvd. #312 Beloit, WI 53511 – I have a Ben Johnson in unit #312, but never #311!

Sara Munz - No record of her ever living here!

1736 Gateway Blvd - 1 Resident to Verify:

Betty Hawk - No record of her ever living here!

I hope this helps – Please let me know if you have any further questions or concerns! ©

Thanks,

Exhibit B





I Robert Potter would like to respond to the formal request to invalidate and remove me from the ballot for April's election

In response to reason number one, the date at the bottom of page 1,3 & 4 was altered by myself Robert Potter in front of Rock Co Clerk Lisa Tollefson because I signed and dated the nomination papers when I started circulating the papers, I didn't finish the full page on the initial day therefor I had to correct the date on the bottom of the page.

In response to Reason number two, on page 4 line 9 & 10 the complaint is correct my next-door neighbors mistakenly forgot to check the box indicating if they reside in the city, town or village. I didn't notice the error prior to submitting my papers. But I have read the challenge and understand that this is not valid reason to disqualify the signature.

In response to reason number three, on page 2 lines 1-5 and page 3 lines 1-7 are not completed addresses because the apartment numbers are not listed. I have read the challenge and understand that this is not a valid reason to disqualify the signatures.


In response to reason number four, the email from the property manager at hawk's ridge apartments I wasn't aware it was legal for the property manager to release the names of its residence to private citizens asking. I can't dispute the claims that 3 of the signers are not on the lease of the apartment but I can say that when I knock on doors and people answer I ask if the live at the residence. If they answer yes that's when I ask them to sign my nomination papers, how do I know if they're the significant other of the lease holder or the person renting the apartment. Everyone who signed told me they lived in the apartment.

In response to reason number five, page three line 5, 6 & 7 all have altered dates its true all three have sloppily written dates. It was the second day of the new year, and some people weren't careful printing the date on the page.

In response to reason number six, page six line six when I ask people to sign the nomination papers, I don't ask to see a drivers license to prove their name and address when a person says they live at an address I wasn't aware I needed to verify the information myself.

In response to reason number seven, the handwriting which is "OBVIOUS" that a single induvial signed multiple times under multiple different names is complete Bull Shit and I am sorry I used this language but I am so upset that people can make these fact less accusations I have paid to have a public notary witness my signers on page 1 lines 3 & 4 write out an affidavit that they themselves filled out their names on the paperwork submitted. These people have signed my nomination forms every time I have run for office.

In response to page 6 line 5 & 6 line 5 is jeff potter my cousin who signed his own name directly below his fiancé, he was out of town ice fishing for the week when I had the public notary available my hope is he will be home Monday prior to turning in my response to all this. As far as the signer on line 6 I have no idea who this Josh Warenn is he is a guy who was at my cousins apartment complex who just happen to be walking by when were outside he gave said he lived in lathers woods which is in my district so he signed.



AND TO IN



Finally response to complaint number 8, page 1 line 5 Jay Boschi's legal name is John but I've known him my entire life and he has always gone by Jay and he has signed my previous nomination papers as Jay also. The person who filed the complaint did some good research his sons name is Jay who does live in Mukwonago he is a fire fighter there I grew up playing hockey with him.

In closing if I'm removed from the ballot for a reason of someone not being on a lease at the apartment complex they told me they lived at I will understand I guess going forward I'll have to ask for proof of residence. But I will not standby and let someone make false claims of me falsifying papers by signing a persons name, I run for this position to try to make my community a better place not to be accused of illegal actions.

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 10th day of Jan 2022

Notary Public, Rock County, WI


Commission Expires_

I Jeff Potter signed Robert Potter nomination papers for County Board Supervisor on January 3rd, 2022 outside of my home at 2937 Kensington Beloit Wi. As indicated on page 6 of his papers he submitted.

Jeffreyk RoHer Jobbreyk Ster 1/10/22 RECED JAN 62 ?

JAN 1 0 2022


Signed before me on 1/10/2022 Thate of WI county of Pock Commission expires 11/12-24



I Cori Quinn signed Robert Potter nomination papers for Rock County Board of Supervisors on January 2, 2022 in our home located at 2262 winchester Dr. Beloit, wi as indicated on page 1 of his papers he susmitted.

Soi C Quin

I certify on this 8th day of Jamony 2022, that the signature of Lori C. Quinn is true and official.



Rhonda Amold Notary Public

Exp. 3-11-2025



I Tim Quim signed Robert Potters

nomination papers for Rock County

Board of supervisors on 1-2-22

In our home Located at 2262

uncester Drive Beloit WI as Indicated

on page I of the papers he subnitted

Tim Anni

I certify on this 8th day of January 2022, that the Signature of Tim Quinn is true and Official.



Rhonda Arnold Notary Public

Exp. 3-11-2025



Exhibit C

NOMINATION PAPER CHALLENGES

January 2018



Wisconsin Elections Commission 212 East Washington Avenue, Third Floor P.O. Box 7984 Madison, WI 53707-7984

Phone: (608) 266-8005 FAX: (608) 267-0500 http://elections.wi.gov

Introduction

Parties wishing to challenge nomination papers of state and federal candidates file such challenges with the Wisconsin Elections Commission (WEC). Parties wishing to appeal a decision of a local election official regarding nomination papers or challenges related to local candidates may also file a complaint with the WEC. In either case, parties are reminded that the Board may, if it finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a challenge or complaint is frivolous, order the complainant to forfeit up to the greater of \$500 or the expenses incurred by the WEC. in investigating the complaint.

The requirements and standards related to nomination papers and challenges to nomination papers are governed generally by Ch. 8, Wis. Stats, and EL Ch. 2, Wis. Admn. Code. Pursuant to Wis. Admn. Code EL §2.05(5), "where a required item of information on a nomination paper is incomplete, the filing officer shall accept the information as complete if there has been substantial compliance with the law." Furthermore, any information on a nomination paper is entitled to a presumption of validity. Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(4). Both challenges and responses must be verified (notarized) and may include supporting documentation. The burden is on the challenger to establish, by clear and convincing evidence, any insufficiency of the nomination papers and if the challenger does so, the burden then shifts to the challenged candidate to establish that the nomination papers or any challenged signatures are sufficient. Wis. Admn. Code EL §§ 2.07(2) and (3).

Over the years, WEC staff and its governing body have analyzed numerous types of challenges and developed a consistent methodology for resolving the most common challenges that have been filed. This document should hopefully help candidates and political parties concentrate their efforts on challenges with a supportable legal basis, and reduce the filing of frivolous challenges or those involving legal issues which have been well settled.

While challenges are not limited to those described in the administrative rules, there are two general categories of challenges – challenges to the header of the nomination papers which may result in declaring all signatures contained on nomination papers using that header to be invalid, and challenges to individual signatures which do not affect the validity of other signatures on the nomination papers.

Please Note: This document summarizes previous decisions of the State Elections Board, the Government Accountability Board and the Wisconsin Elections Commission related to the most common challenges to nomination papers and other election petitions. It is intended to itemize and consolidate previous decisions which state and local filing officers may rely on as precedents regarding the general legal questions and principles involved. However, the facts of individual circumstances and challenges vary, and the application of these principles will be determined on a case by case basis.

Summary of Previous Board Decisions - Common Nomination Paper Challenges

1. Candidate Information (Header Portion of Paper)

None of the information in the header of the nomination paper, (i.e., candidate's name, candidate's address, political party represented, date of election, office sought, name of jurisdiction or district in which candidate seeks office), may be altered, amended, corrected or added after circulation of the nomination paper. This is the nomination information that each signatory saw and relied upon in deciding to sign the paper in support of placing the candidate's name on the ballot.

a. Office Title and District Designation

<u>Challenge:</u> Irregularities in the title of the office or the district number as required by Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(2)(b), 8.15(a).

<u>Analysis:</u> Staff has typically allowed for variances in listing the office title, such as "Assembly," "Representative," "State Assembly." In the past, staff determined that the papers were sufficient as long as the electors could determine the office and district the candidate was pursuing by other information provided in the nomination paper heading. Additionally, where the title or district designations are illegible or in the incorrect boxes, staff has found these pages to substantially comply when the required information could be determined elsewhere in the nomination paper heading. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

b. Election Date

<u>Challenge:</u> Incomplete or missing date of election as required by Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(2)(b), 8.15(5)(a).

Analysis: When a date of election is completely missing from a petition, staff has recommended approving the challenge and striking the signatures on those pages. When a date is listed but incomplete or incorrect (e.g., using the date of the primary, not indicating the year, indicating the month and year but not the day, indicating an incorrect date, or incorrectly indicating "general" as the type of election on the petition heading), past policy for this Board and the former Elections Board found substantial compliance with Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10 or 8.15 where there was sufficient notice to the signers that the candidate was seeking office at the election immediately following circulation of the nomination papers. Consequently, staff has typically allowed for irregularities in the listed election date where it can be determined that electors understood the nomination papers were for the fall election event. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

c. Candidate Address

Challenge: The candidate has not specified a municipality for voting purposes.

Analysis: Challenges to petitions where the candidate has not specified a municipality for voting purposes have been rejected in the past. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(2)(c) and 8.15(5)(b) provide that "[e]ach candidate shall include his or her mailing address on the candidate's nomination papers," but is silent with regards to inclusion of municipality for voting purposes. The established policy of the Commission in reviewing nomination papers has been to find substantial compliance with Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10 and 8.15 by presuming the validity of the information listed unless evidence to the contrary is presented. Absent such evidence, the municipality listed for voting purposes is presumed to be the same as the municipality listed for mailing purposes.

<u>Challenge:</u> The space in the header for candidate street number, fire number, rural route number, box number if a rural route and street name is blank.

Analysis: When the candidate's basic address information (number and name of street) is blank in the header, staff has recommended approving the challenge and striking the signatures on those pages. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(2)(b) and (c), 8.15(5)(a) and (b) clearly indicate that a candidate's address must appear on the nomination paper to provide signers the opportunity to evaluate the candidate prior to supporting their nomination. Similar to a blank date of election in the header, the Commission has found that papers must contain a minimum amount of information about the candidate and the election for which they are asking to be nominated, for the paper to substantially comply with the law. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

d. Candidate Certification

<u>Challenge:</u> The candidate has not completed the gender identification checkbox in the candidate certification statement.

<u>Analysis:</u> Staff has considered such an omission to be an oversight of a technical requirement and have considered papers that are otherwise correct to be in substantial compliance with statutory requirements. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

e. Candidate Dates of Circulation

<u>Challenge:</u> The candidate circulated nomination papers prior to the date he or she filed a campaign registration statement or declaration of candidacy.

Analysis: Staff has recommended dismissing these challenges. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(5), 8.15(4)(b) provide that if a candidate has not filed a campaign registration statement prior to the time of filing nomination papers, "the candidate shall file the statement with the papers." Wis. Stat. § 8.21(1) provides that each candidate shall file a declaration of candidacy "no later than the latest time provided for filing nomination papers." This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

2. Circulator Information

a. Circulator Address

<u>Challenge:</u> The circulator's address, required by Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(3)(a) or 8.15(4)(a), is insufficient because the circulator has not indicated type of municipality of residence (e.g., "Town of" or "City of").

Analysis: Staff has recommended dismissing these challenges. Wis. Stat. §8.15(4)(a) (Wis. Stat. §8.10(3) incorporates the standard in § 8.15(4)(a)) states in the relevant portion that "the certification of a qualified circulator stating his or her residence with street and number, if any, shall appear at the bottom of each nomination paper, stating he or she personally circulated the nomination paper and personally obtained each of the signatures." There is no separate requirement that the circulator indicate the type of municipality of residence. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

<u>Challenge:</u> The circulator's address, required by Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(3), 8.15(4)(a), is insufficient because the circulator has not indicated the municipality of residence.

<u>Analysis:</u> Staff has recommended finding substantial compliance for papers missing the municipality in the circulator's address where the circulator is the candidate and where the missing information is supplied by reference to other information on the same page (e.g., the candidate's address in the nomination paper heading). Staff has typically struck signatures on pages in which the circulator was someone other than the candidate, and the certification of circulator did not include the circulator's municipality. Staff has determined that the circulator's 'residence' should include the name of their municipality for it to substantially comply with the statutory requirement. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

b. <u>Circulator Date and Signature</u>

<u>Challenge:</u> The date of certification is incomplete or incorrect, as required by Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(3), 8.15(4)(a).

<u>Analysis</u>: The circulator may correct errors in the certificate of the circulator, such as the circulator failed to sign or otherwise complete the certificate, or entered inadvertently erroneous data (for instance: the circulator dated the certificate before circulation, not after). If the circulator has not corrected these errors by affidavit by the correction deadline, the challenge must be approved and the signatures on those pages struck. Recommendations to this effect have been approved in prior cases.

3. Elector Signatures

Only one signature per person for the same office is valid. In addition to his or her signature, in order for the signature to be valid, each signer of a nomination paper shall legibly print his or her name in a space provided next to his or her signature and shall list his or her municipality of residence for voting purposes, the street and number, if any, on which the signer resides, and the date of signing. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(4)(b), 8.15(2).

a. Multiple Signatures

<u>Challenge:</u> The elector has signed nomination papers for more than one candidate for the same office.

<u>Analysis:</u> Where the elector has signed another candidate's papers prior to the signature on the challenged papers, the later signatures should be struck. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

b. Signature

<u>Challenge:</u> The elector has "signed" with a printed name.

Analysis: Staff has allowed signatures where the name has been printed. Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(8) requires that the elector "sign his or her own name;" the rule does not require that the signature be made in cursive. The dictionary definition of "signature" simply states that it is "the name of a person written with his own hand." Staff recommendations that signatures be permitted where both the "printed name" and "signature" have been printed have been approved in prior cases.

<u>Challenge:</u> The elector's signature is illegible.

Analysis: Staff has recommended denying challenges that alleged that signatures are illegible. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(4)(b), 8.15(2) require each signer of a nomination paper to provide a signature and address. There is no requirement that a signature must be legible, and individual signers mark their signatures in a wide variety of ways (e.g., by marking an "X"). This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

c. Printed Name

<u>Challenge:</u> The elector's printed name is illegible or in cursive.

Analysis: Challenges to printed names were first considered and decided by the former Government Accountability Board in 2014. The statutory requirement is that ". . . in order for the signature to be valid, each signer of a nomination paper shall legibly print his or her name in a space provided next to his or her signature" Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(4)(b) and 8.15(2).

There are some practical difficulties in determining an objective standard for a legibly printed name. For example, some signatures are clearly legible but the "printed name" may have been written in cursive, or included some letters that were not separated, as a dictionary definition of "printed" might require.

Based upon the WEC's experience in evaluating printed names on nomination papers, as well as the stated legislative intent of 2013 Act 160 and related administrative rules, the WEC has developed standards and guidance for local election officials charged with reviewing nomination papers and other election petitions. The legislative record

emphasized that the purpose of Act 160 was to preserve the ability of opposing candidates to identify petition signers in order to consider filing challenges, and not to reject signatures that were legible. At its meeting of October 28, 2014, the Government Accountability Board directed its staff and local filing officers to apply the following standards to determine the sufficiency of signatures and printed names on nomination papers and other election petitions:

- 1. The filing officer shall confirm that the signer has completed information in both the "Signature" box and the "Printed Name" box of the nomination paper or other election petition. The signature may be marked as the signer customarily marks his or her signature, including by using an "X" or by using either traditional printed letters or a handwritten signature. Similarly, the signer's printed name is not required to include only letters that are separated from one another.
- 2. If the filing officer can discern no part of the signer's name, after reviewing both the signature and the printed name, it should be deemed illegible and the signature should not be counted.
- 3. After reviewing both the signature and printed name of a signer, if the filing officer can discern a possible name, but may not be certain of the exact spelling of the name, the printed name is deemed legible and the signature shall be counted if otherwise valid.
- 4. The filing officer is not required to consult extrinsic sources of information (voter registration records, telephone directories, etc.), but may do so if it assists the filing officer in discerning a possible name.
- 5. The signer must print his or her name, and the signer must execute a correcting affidavit if the printed name is missing or insufficient for the signature to be counted. However, a circulator may print the name of a signer with a disability who requests such assistance.

While requiring some subjective judgment by filing officers, these standards accurately capture the intent of 2013 Act 160 and do not require a hyper-technical application of the phrase "legibly print." In reviewing nomination papers and other election petitions, WEC staff and local filing officers will be able to apply a common-sense approach which does not eliminate legible names simply because letters in a printed name are connected or cross over one another. In essence, the printed name requirement is used to clarify or complete a signature which may not be legible or readable, not to invalidate signatures on the basis of a name failing to meet a literal definition of "printed."

d. Signature Address

Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(4)(b), 8.15(2) require that a signer of a nomination paper "shall list his or her municipality of residence for voting purposes, the street and number, if any, on which the signer resides." Errors in which the elector used an address or listed a municipality which does not reflect

his or her actual residence or wrote an incomplete address may be corrected by the elector or by the circulator in a correcting affidavit filed by the correction deadline.

Challenge: The elector's address is missing an apartment number.

<u>Analysis:</u> Staff has recommended that signatures be found in substantial compliance where the insufficiency is a missing apartment number. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

<u>Challenge:</u> The elector's address is missing the municipality designation or the elector has checked a box in error.

<u>Analysis:</u> The Commission and its staff have advised candidates and challengers that a signatory's failure to check the correct box to indicate "Town, Village or City" is not a basis for disqualifying a signature unless a challenger can show that the given address is outside the subject jurisdiction or district. For instance, the challenger needs to show that a given address has to be in the Village of X, not in the Town of X and, therefore is outside District Y. The signatory's error or omission in checking a box on a form is not sufficient evidence for a challenge.

<u>Challenge:</u> The elector's address is incomplete because the elector has abbreviated the name of the municipality.

<u>Analysis:</u> Challenges to signatures alleged not to include the proper municipality of residence, where the municipality can be determined by other information contained on the nomination papers, pursuant to Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(15)(c) have been rejected in the past. For instance, the municipality of "WFB" was determined by the mailing address to indicate "Whitefish Bay," or "Gtown" was determined by the zip code to indicate "Germantown."

Challenge: The elector has used a P.O. Box as his or her address.

<u>Analysis:</u> Commission policy has been to accept signatures with a P.O. Box rather than a residential address if the entire municipality in which the P.O. Box is located is within the candidate's District.

Challenge: The elector lives outside the district.

Analysis: A complaint challenging the eligibility of a signatory to a nomination paper based on the signer's non-residency must be accompanied by reference to MyVote Wisconsin or "Who is My Legislator?" web searches, by a map of the district demonstrating that the address is outside the district, or by a signed statement from the election official, (municipal clerk or deputy clerk), whose responsibility it is to determine the residency of electors of the district. Without such references, the complainant challenger's bare assertion of the signer's non-residency is not sufficient to sustain the challenger's burden of proof. Time permitting, Commission staff may attempt to verify the location of the address via MyVote Wisconsin and WisVote. This policy has been approved in prior cases.

e. Signature Date

<u>Challenge:</u> The date of the elector's signature, as required by Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(4)(a), 8.15(2), is incomplete or missing.

Analysis: Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(15)(a) allows for a signature to survive an incomplete date challenge if "the date can be determined by reference to the dates of other signatures on the paper." In the past, the Board policy has required that signatures on the first and last line of a nomination paper contain the complete date information, and not allowed missing date information on those lines to be determined by reference to the dates of other signatures on the page. However, in the context of a court case challenging the Board's application of Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(15)(a), the WI Department of Justice (DOJ) has advised that the Board's interpretation of that rule was too restrictive in that it required incomplete dates to be "bracketed" by complete dates. The DOJ recommended that the Board equally apply the principle of determining missing date information by reference to other information on the page, even if the incomplete date appeared on the first or last signature line. This recommendation has been approved in prior cases.

Challenge: The elector's signature is dated after the date of the circulator's certification.

<u>Analysis:</u> Staff has struck these signatures pursuant to the Commission's administrative rules that provide that a signature may not be counted if it is dated after the date of the certificate of the circulator. Wis. Admn. Code EL § 2.05(15)(b).

Exhibit D

Chapter EL 2

ELECTION RELATED PETITIONS

EL 2.05 Treatment and sufficiency of nomination papers. EL 2.09 Treatment and sufficiency of election petitions. EL 2.07 Challenges to nomination papers. EL 2.11 Challenges to election petitions.

Note: Chapter EIBd 2 was renumbered chapter GAB 2 under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 1., Stats., and corrections made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 2. and 7., Stats., Register April 2008 No. 628. Chapter GAB 2 was renumbered Chapter EL 2 under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 1., Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726.

- **EL 2.05 Treatment and sufficiency of nomination papers. (1)** Each candidate for public office has the responsibility to assure that his or her nomination papers are prepared, circulated, signed, and filed in compliance with statutory and other legal requirements.
- (2) In order to be timely filed, all nomination papers shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer by the statutory deadline. Each of the nomination papers shall be numbered, before they are filed, and the numbers shall be assigned sequentially, beginning with the number "1". Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, the absence of a page number will not invalidate the signatures on that page.
- (3) The filing officer shall review all nomination papers filed with it, up to the maximum number permitted, to determine the facial sufficiency of the papers filed. Where circumstances and the time for review permit, the filing officer may consult maps, directories and other extrinsic evidence to ascertain the correctness and sufficiency of information on a nomination paper.
- (4) Any information which appears on a nomination paper is entitled to a presumption of validity. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, errors in information contained in a nomination paper, committed by either a signer or a circulator, may be corrected by an affidavit of the circulator, an affidavit of the candidate, or an affidavit of a person who signed the nomination paper. The person giving the correcting affidavit shall have personal knowledge of the correct information and the correcting affidavit shall be filed with the filing officer not later than three calendar days after the applicable statutory due date for the nomination papers.
- (5) Where any required item of information on a nomination paper is incomplete, the filing officer shall accept the information as complete if there has been substantial compliance with the law.
- **(6)** Nomination papers shall contain at least the minimum required number of signatures from the circuit, county, district or jurisdiction which the candidate seeks to represent.
- (7) The filing officer shall accept nomination papers which contain biographical data or campaign advertising. The disclaimer specified in s. 11.1303 (2), Stats., is not required on any nomination paper.
- (8) An elector shall sign his or her own name unless unable to do so because of physical disability. An elector unable to sign because of physical disability shall be present when another person signs on behalf of the disabled elector and shall specifically authorize the signing.
- **(9)** A person may not sign for his or her spouse, or for any other person, even when they have been given a power of attorney by that person, unless sub. (8) applies.
- (10) The signature of a married woman shall be counted when she uses her husband's first name instead of her own.
- (11) Only one signature per person for the same office is valid. Where an elector is entitled to vote for more than one candidate for the same office, a person may sign the nomination papers of

as many candidates for the same office as the person is entitled to vote for at the election.

- (12) A complete address, including municipality of residence for voting purposes, and the street and number, if any, of the residence, (or a postal address if it is located in the jurisdiction that the candidate seeks to represent), shall be listed for each signature on a nomination paper.
- (13) A signature shall be counted when identical residential information or dates for different electors are indicated by ditto marks.
- (14) No signature on a nomination paper shall be counted unless the elector who circulated the nomination paper completes and signs the certificate of circulator and does so after, not before, the paper is circulated. No signature may be counted when the residency of the circulator cannot be determined by the information given on the nomination paper.
- (15) An individual signature on a nomination paper may not be counted when any of the following occur:
- (a) The date of the signature is missing, unless the date can be determined by reference to the dates of other signatures on the paper.
- (b) The signature is dated after the date of certification contained in the certificate of circulator.
- (c) The address of the signer is missing or incomplete, unless residency can be determined by the information provided on the nomination paper.
- (d) The signature is that of an individual who is not 18 years of age at the time the paper is signed. An individual who will not be 18 years of age until the subject election is not eligible to sign a nomination paper for that election.
- (e) The signature is that of an individual who has been adjudicated not to be a qualified elector on the grounds of incompetency or limited competency as provided in s. 6.03 (3), Stats., or is that of an individual who was not, for any other reason, a qualified elector at the time of signing the nomination paper.
- (16) After a nomination paper has been filed, no signature may be added or removed. After a nomination paper has been signed, but before it has been filed, a signature may be removed by the circulator. The death of a signer after a nomination paper has been signed does not invalidate the signature.
- (17) This section is promulgated pursuant to the direction of s. 8.07, Stats., and is to be used by election officials in determining the validity of all nomination papers and the signatures on those papers.

History: Emerg. cr. 8–9–74; cr. Register, November, 1974, No. 227, eff. 12–1–74; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 12–16–81; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 6–1–84; cr. Register, November. 1984, No. 347, eff. 12–1–84; r. and recr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2–1–94; CR 00–153; am. (2), (4), and (14), r. (15), renum. (16), (17), and (18) to be (15), (16) and (17), and am. (15) (b) as renum., Register September 2001 No. 549, eff. 10–1–01; correction in (7) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 7., Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726.

EL 2.07 Challenges to nomination papers. (1) The elections commission shall review any verified complaint concerning the sufficiency of nomination papers of a candidate for state office that is filed with the elections commission under ss. 5.05 and 5.06, Stats.; and the local filing officer shall review any verified complaint concerning the sufficiency of nomination papers of a candidate for local office that is filed with the local fil-

ing officer under s. 8.07, Stats. The filing officer shall apply the standards in s. EL 2.05 to determine the sufficiency of nomination papers, including consulting extrinsic sources of evidence under s. EL 2.05 (3).

- (2) (a) Any challenge to the sufficiency of a nomination paper shall be made by verified complaint, filed with the appropriate filing officer. The complainant shall file both an original and a copy of the challenge at the time of filing the complaint. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, the failure of the complainant to provide the filing officer with a copy of the challenge complaint will not invalidate the challenge complaint. The filing officer shall make arrangements to have a copy of the challenge delivered to the challenged candidate within 24 hours of the filing of the challenge complaint. The filing officer may impose a fee for the cost of photocopying the challenge and for the cost of delivery of the challenge to the respondent. The form of the complaint and its filing shall comply with the requirements of ch. EL 20. Any challenge to the sufficiency of a nomination paper shall be filed within 3 calendar days after the filing deadline for the challenged nomination papers. The challenge shall be established by affidavit, or other supporting evidence, demonstrating a failure to comply with statutory or other legal requirements.
- (b) The response to a challenge to nomination papers shall be filed, by the candidate challenged, within 3 calendar days of the filing of the challenge and shall be verified. After the deadline for filing a response to a challenge, but not later than the date for certifying candidates to the ballot, the elections commission or the local filing officer shall decide the challenge with or without a hearing.
- (3) (a) The burden is on the challenger to establish any insufficiency. If the challenger establishes that the information on the nomination paper is insufficient, the burden is on the challenged candidate to establish its sufficiency. The invalidity or disqualification of one or more signatures on a nomination paper shall not affect the validity of any other signatures on that paper.
- (b) If a challenger establishes that an elector signed the nomination papers of a candidate more than once or signed the nomination papers of more than one candidate for the same office, the 2nd and subsequent signatures may not be counted. The burden of proving that the second and subsequent signatures are that of the same person and are invalid is on the challenger.
- (c) If a challenger establishes that the date of a signature, or the address of the signer, is not valid, the signature may not be counted.
- (d) Challengers are not limited to the categories set forth in pars. (a) and (b).
- (4) The filing officer shall examine any evidence offered by the parties when reviewing a complaint challenging the sufficiency of the nomination papers of a candidate for state or local office. The burden of proof applicable to establishing or rebutting a challenge is clear and convincing evidence.
- (5) Where it is alleged that the signer or circulator of a nomination paper does not reside in the district in which the candidate being nominated seeks office, the challenger may attempt to establish the geographical location of an address indicated on a

nomination paper, by providing district maps, or by providing a statement from a postmaster or other public official.

History: Emerg. cr. 8–9–74; cr. Register, November, 1974, No. 227, eff. 12–1–74; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 12–16–81; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 6–1–84; cr. Register, November, 1984, No. 347, eff. 12–1–84; emerg. am. (1), (4) to (6), eff. 6–1–86; am. (1), (4) to (6), Register, November, 1986, No. 371, eff. 12–1–86; r. and recr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2–1–94; CR 00–153: am. (2) (a) and (b), Register September 2001 No. 549, eff. 10–1–01; reprinted to restore dropped copy in (2) (b), Register December 2001 No. 552; correction in (1) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 7., Stats., Register April 2008 No. 628; correction in (1), (2) (b) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 6., Stats., and correction in (1), (2) (a) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 7., Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726.

- EL 2.09 Treatment and sufficiency of election petitions. (1) Except as expressly provided herein, the standards established in s. EL 2.05 for determining the treatment and sufficiency of nomination papers are incorporated by reference into, and are made a part of, this section.
- (2) In order to be timely filed, all petitions required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., and required by statute or other law to be filed by a time certain, shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer not later than the time set by that statute or other law.
- (3) All petitions shall contain at least the number of signatures, from the election district in which the petition was circulated, equal to the minimum required by the statute or other law establishing the right to petition.
- (4) Only one signature per person for the same petition, is valid.
- (5) This section applies to all petitions which are required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., including recall petitions, and to any other petition whose filing would require a governing body to call a referendum election.

History: Cr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2–1–94; correction in (1) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 7., Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726.

- **EL 2.11 Challenges to election petitions. (1)** Except as expressly provided herein, the standards established in s. EL 2.07 for determining challenges to the sufficiency of nomination papers apply equally to determining challenges to the sufficiency of petitions required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., including recall petitions, and to any other petition whose filing requires a governing body to call a referendum election.
- (2) (a) Any challenge to the sufficiency of a petition required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., shall be made by verified complaint filed with the appropriate filing officer. The form of the complaint, the filing of the complaint and the legal sufficiency of the complaint shall comply with the requirements of ch. EL 20; the procedure for resolving the complaint, including filing deadlines, shall be governed by this section and not by ch. EL 20.
- (b) The complaint challenging a petition shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer within the time set by the statute or other law governing the petition being challenged or, if no time limit is specifically provided by statute or other law, within 10 days after the day that the petition is filed.
- (3) The response to a challenge to a petition shall be filed within the time set by the statute or other law governing that petition or, if no time limit is specifically provided by statute or other law, within 5 days of the filing of the challenge to that petition. After the deadline for filing a response to a challenge, the filing officer shall decide the challenge with or without a hearing.

History: Cr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2–1–94; correction in (1), (2) (a) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 7., Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726.